Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/705,604	YAMAZAKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jimmy Lin	1792
All Participants:	Status of Application: After first action non-final	
(1) <u>Jimmy Lin</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mark J. Murphy.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 December 2009	Time: <u>10:00 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-3		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner indicated that "a below" and "the below" in claims 1-3 should both be amended to "below" for grammatical purposes. Applicant's representative approved of the proposed amendments.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To fit the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand. 	he examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Jimmy Lin/ Examiner, Art Unit 1792	(Applicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)